between Rs. 950/- to Rs.1085 -p and that they are producing about 50 tonnes of these thsee (bugs each year;

(c) whether it is *uho* a fact that Government fixed price was only Rs.650/- per kg. and even for one year the amount due from the company could be over rupees 2 crores on Oxytetracycline along: and

(d) if so, whether his Ministry has investigated the matter and if so, with what results and if not. what are the reasons therefor ?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHR1 J. VENGAL RAO) : (a) The details of drugs and its formulations involved in the assessment of the amount is quite large. The time & efforts required for collection of these will not be commensurate with the results likely to be achieved.

(b) to (d) The amount recoverable from the company on Oxytetracycline and its salts as worked out by the special Team is Rs. 41.46 lakhs. The undercharged prices by the company during April-November, 1981 have also been taken into account while calculating the said amount. The amount has also been assessed with reference to not realisation of the company and the notified price minus percentage/commissions paid by the company. The company implemented the notified prices since August, 1982.

Drugs Companies Involved in Supreme Court Litigation

455). SHRI CHANDRIKA PRASAD TRTPATHT:
SHRI RAJN1 RANI A.N SAHU :
DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 650 given in the Rajya Sibha on the 1st August, 1988 and state:

(a) how many companies were involved in Supreme Court litigation and what were the bulk drugs and formulations involved in each case;

(b) what were the prices fixed by Government for each bulk drug and formulation and what were the prices charged by the companies;

(c) when did each company implement the price of each bulk drug and formulation as fixed by Government initially and as a result of review;

(d) what are the basis on which the amount has been, assessed in each case along with the name of the drug and rate of difference; and

(e) in how many cases reviews arc yet to be completed and what are the details in respect of each case and by *when* the amount against these companies would be assessed *1*

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRT J. VENGAL RAO) : (a) Information to the extent available is given in the Statement *(See* below)

(b) The details of prices of drugs fixed by the Government and charged by the companies are quite voluminous. The time and efforts required for collection of these details

will not be commensurate with the results likely to be achieve.'.

(c.) All the concerned companies were advised to implement the prices and the State Drug.-, Controllers were also asked to ensure implementation of prices fixe J. by the Government for these companies.

(d) The tentative amounts have been assessed based on the prices charged by the companies and the prices fixed by the Gcvernment.

(e) The amount recoverable from three companies vie. M/s. Tamilnadu Dadha Pharmaceuticals Ltd., M/s Merind Ltd. and M/s. S.G. Pharmaceuticals Ltd. would be worked out after disposing their review.

Statement

Sr. No.	Name of the Companv	Name of the Bulk Drugs Formulations
1.	Ms. Cyanamid (I) Ltd.	Tetracycline & formulations-
2	—do-	Diethyl Carhamizine formulations.
J.	Ms. Geoffrey Manners & M/s. John Wyeth Ltd.	Benzathine Panicillin & its formulations.
4.	M/s. Hoechst(T)Ltd.	Balralgan, Pyroiidine Methyl Tetracycline Pheniramine, Glybenclamide. Fruse-mide and formulations.
5.	M/s. Pfizer Ltd.	Oxytetracycline and its salts & formulations based thereon.
6.	Ms. Parke Davis	Chloramphenicol.
7.	M s.Ethnor Ltd.	Tetramesol and its formulations.
8.	M/s.AnilStarchLtd.	Dextrose Anhydrous.
9.	M/s Merind Ltd.	Dexamethasone & its formulations.
10.	M/s. Griffon Labs. & Ms. FrancoTadia • Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	ProcainePanicillin V and its formulations.
II.	M s. Tamilnadu Dadha	Calcium Lactate.
12.	M . S.G.PharmactuicalsLtd.	Oxyphenbutazone, Xylccaine & formulations based thereon.